

April 25, 2001

Summary

On April 24, 2001, the Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA) released preliminary calendar year 2000 personal income data. The federal government uses state per capita income to calculate each state's reimbursement rate for Medicaid and other grant programs such as adoption assistance and foster care. This matching rate is known as the federal medical assistance percentage (FMAP), and is calculated every year.

FFIS projects that 2003 FMAPs will have more substantial changes than usual. These changes will result both from the personal income changes and from the impact of the 2000 population census in those states where the 2000 count varied most widely from 1999 Census Bureau estimates.

This *Issue Brief* summarizes the BEA data and provides projections of 2003 state FMAPs. If these projections are accurate, Medicaid matching rates will increase in 22 states and will decline in 18 states. Data issues resulting from the slow processing of the 2000 decennial census make these projections less certain than they otherwise would be but the direction of most states' 2003 shifts should be in the direction indicated herein.

FMAPs

Medicaid is by far the largest federal grant program, accounting for more than 40 percent of total federal grant-in-aid funds. The costs of the Medicaid program are shared, and the federal share—the FMAP—is based on the relationship between each state's per capita personal income and the national average per capita personal income over three calendar years. The formula is designed to give a state with average per capita personal income a federal share of 55 percent. The minimum FMAP for the wealthiest states is 50 percent and the matching rate for U.S. territories is statutorily set at 50 percent. The District of Columbia and Alaska FMAPs are enhanced to reflect special federal interests in those two jurisdictions.

FFIS estimates of FMAPs for federal fiscal year 2002 were published on September 14, 2000 (See *Issue Brief 00-30*), based on 1997-1999 per capita personal income estimates. These 2002 FMAPs were officially promulgated later that fall. FMAPs for 2003 will be promulgated this fall, and will be based on state per capita incomes for 1998-2000.

Personal Income Shifts

Table 1 displays the total personal income data just released by BEA. Total personal income grew 7.3 percent in calendar year 2000. The most substantial growth continued to be in the Far West and Rocky Mountain regions, though the fastest-growing states were regionally diverse—Colorado (10.8%), California (10.5%), Massachusetts (9.9%), New Hampshire (9.5%), Idaho (9.3%) and North Dakota (9.2%).

The slowest-growing region was the Great Lakes, with all its states growing at less than the national average. However, of the five slowest-growing states—Alabama (4.0%), Mississippi (4.3%), Louisiana (4.4%), West Virginia and Hawaii (each 4.8%)—four were in the Southeast.

In the fastest-growing states, growth was particularly strong in manufacturing and services, particularly in high-tech manufacturing industries such as electronic and electrical devices (semiconductor manufacturing and related products), industrial machinery and computer equipment (computer hardware and components) and in business services (software development and data processing). Growth in government spending also contributed in most of these states. In New Hampshire, growth in wholesale and retail trade was important. In Idaho and North Dakota, farm income contributed substantially, including large federal farm payments.

Assumptions Behind the 2003 FMAP Estimates; Data Issues

Personal Income. BEA compiles quarterly personal income data and releases these approximately four months after the end of each quarter. In April of each year, it then compiles these quarterly data into preliminary annual data. It is preliminary 2000 data used in this brief. BEA will release revised annual data in the fall, and these will be used in calculating the official 2003 FMAPS. There may be substantial differences between the preliminary and revised 2000 data.

Population. In other than decennial census years, population data used by BEA for calculating per capita income estimates are those generated by the annual Current Population Survey (CPS). Estimates used reflect state populations as of July 1.

The decennial census count of April 2000 provides a benchmark for recalculating July 1 data for each year. For 1991-1999, the Census Bureau will reestimate annual state population data to produce a smooth curve between the two decennial years. For 2000, the Bureau will "age" the April data to produce July 2000 estimates. However, neither of these processes has been completed, and none of the July 1998-2000 population data are available to calculate the per capita personal income data necessary for estimating the 2003 FMAPs.

FFIS has therefore made the following assumptions to calculate population data for this *Issue Brief*. A large degree of estimation is necessary for these projections and variances can be expected when final data are published. Nonetheless, FFIS believes that analysts can rely on the rough magnitude of changes reflected here.

1. *Population for July 2000.* These have been calculated using the April 2000 resident population counts and assuming growth to July at the approximate rate now shown for CPS 1998-1999 population data.
2. *Population for 1998-1999.* These have been derived retrospectively using the 1998-1999 CPS growth rate against the July 2000 estimates.

Projected FMAPs

Table 2 displays actual FMAPs for 1999-2002 and FFIS projections for federal fiscal year 2003 based on per capita income estimates derived using the above assumptions. Under these assumptions, 22 state FMAPs would increase and 18 would decline. The most substantial FMAP increases would be for Rhode Island (2.74 percentage points), Florida (2.50), Hawaii (1.92), Arizona (1.73), Nevada (1.54), Arkansas (1.42), New Mexico (1.41), North Carolina (0.85), Tennessee (0.72) and Louisiana (0.70). The most substantial losses would be suffered by Alaska (-1.64), Michigan (-1.45), California (-1.40), North Dakota (-1.13), Virginia (-0.83) and Ohio (-0.79).

Alaska's FMAP was set at 59.80 for fiscal years 1998-2000. That provision expired after fiscal year 2000. It was replaced by a similar provision in last year's omnibus budget bill for fiscal years 2001-2005 that reduces its per capita income for the purposes of the formula.

Enhanced FMAPs

The enactment of the State Children's Health Insurance Program (S-CHIP) created an enhanced FMAP for that program. For S-CHIP, the federal share is increased by reducing each state's own-source contribution by 30 percent from its FMAP state share. Table 3 displays FFIS projections of the enhanced match for 2003. This enhanced matching rate has now also been applied to a new Medicaid option for breast and cervical cancer treatment for women identified under a Centers for Disease Control and Prevention screening program (see *Issue Brief 01-19*).

Possible Impact of 2003 FMAPs on Medicaid Grants

Table 4 displays February 2001 state projections of Medicaid 2002 vendor payment grants, as reported to the Health Care Financing Administration. These grants are based on the 2002 FMAP. For illustrative purposes, Table 3 then displays the impact on grants to states of using the 2003 FMAPs on those payments.

As can be seen, states on net would gain an estimated \$25 million in their Medicaid programs if the projected 2003 FMAPs were used in 2002. The biggest losses suffered by states would be experienced by California (-\$362 million), Michigan (-\$103 million), Ohio (-\$72 million), Virginia (-\$27 million) and Washington (-\$17 million). The most substantial gains would be enjoyed by Florida (\$231 million), Arizona (\$58 million), North Carolina (\$55 million), Pennsylvania (\$50 million), Tennessee (\$41 million), Georgia (\$35 million) and Rhode Island (\$36 million).

FFIS will continue to monitor and report on changes to both personal income and population and to estimate their impact on Medicaid spending.

**For additional information,
contact:**

Vic Miller
Federal Funds Information for States
444 North Capitol Street; Suite 642
Washington, DC 20001-1511
Phone: 202/624-8577
Fax: 202/624-7745

www.ffis.org

E-mail: vmiller@ffis.org

Copyright © 2001 FFIS Federal Funds Information for States. All rights reserved.

Table 1**Personal Income of States and Regions, 1997-2000**

(calendar years; dollars in millions)

State	1997	1998	1999	2000	Percent Change	
					1997-2000	1999-2000
Alabama	\$91,284	\$96,235	\$100,385	\$104,379	14.35%	3.98%
Alaska	16,488	17,195	17,736	18,848	14.31%	6.27%
Arizona	103,702	112,633	120,287	131,230	26.55%	9.10%
Arkansas	51,055	53,952	56,724	59,501	16.54%	4.90%
California	861,557	923,752	989,590	1,093,196	26.89%	10.47%
Colorado	108,765	118,496	127,904	141,724	30.30%	10.81%
Connecticut	116,421	123,903	129,780	138,401	18.88%	6.64%
Delaware	20,145	21,741	23,135	24,491	21.57%	5.86%
District of Columbia	19,135	19,536	20,308	21,385	11.76%	5.30%
Florida	377,673	401,489	419,800	449,817	19.10%	7.15%
Georgia	183,757	199,660	212,806	228,727	24.47%	7.48%
Hawaii	31,218	31,824	32,641	34,191	9.52%	4.75%
Idaho	25,226	26,984	28,627	31,287	24.03%	9.29%
Illinois	340,594	362,170	377,650	400,632	17.63%	6.09%
Indiana	139,459	148,515	155,448	164,238	17.77%	5.65%
Iowa	67,938	71,105	73,453	78,201	15.11%	6.47%
Kansas	63,728	67,564	70,876	74,781	17.35%	5.51%
Kentucky	82,927	87,965	92,000	98,190	18.41%	6.73%
Louisiana	92,286	97,512	99,855	104,281	13.00%	4.43%
Maine	27,773	29,354	30,803	32,667	17.62%	6.05%
Maryland	148,826	158,218	168,168	179,400	20.54%	6.68%
Massachusetts	191,596	205,264	219,386	241,215	25.90%	9.95%
Michigan	250,216	263,207	277,214	294,299	17.62%	6.16%
Minnesota	129,020	139,305	146,810	157,921	22.40%	7.57%
Mississippi	51,598	54,978	57,272	59,718	15.74%	4.27%
Missouri	131,144	138,352	144,389	153,561	17.09%	6.35%
Montana	17,726	18,740	19,419	20,362	14.87%	4.86%
Nebraska	40,724	42,970	45,061	47,622	16.94%	5.68%
Nevada	47,258	51,971	56,094	61,005	29.09%	8.76%
New Hampshire	32,397	35,095	37,626	41,191	27.14%	9.48%
New Jersey	260,705	276,473	290,004	311,192	19.37%	7.31%
New Mexico	34,860	36,701	37,991	40,388	15.86%	6.31%
New York	553,543	585,829	616,878	655,584	18.43%	6.27%
North Carolina	179,691	192,055	202,109	218,892	21.82%	8.30%
North Dakota	13,332	14,500	14,747	16,099	20.75%	9.16%
Ohio	279,367	294,307	305,855	322,432	15.42%	5.42%
Oklahoma	69,951	74,158	77,093	81,150	16.01%	5.26%
Oregon	80,575	85,321	89,398	96,997	20.38%	8.50%
Pennsylvania	313,457	328,589	343,263	362,773	15.73%	5.68%
Rhode Island	26,293	27,676	29,066	31,120	18.36%	7.07%
South Carolina	81,045	86,563	91,463	97,576	20.40%	6.68%
South Dakota	16,288	17,387	18,358	19,713	21.03%	7.38%
Tennessee	125,457	133,615	140,094	149,280	18.99%	6.56%
Texas	468,950	508,438	537,857	581,165	23.93%	8.05%
Utah	43,696	46,824	49,573	53,388	22.18%	7.70%
Vermont	13,752	14,652	15,345	16,378	19.09%	6.73%
Virginia	180,190	192,605	204,769	220,584	22.42%	7.72%
Washington	150,203	162,555	174,877	185,831	23.72%	6.26%
West Virginia	35,202	36,623	37,802	39,631	12.58%	4.84%
Wisconsin	128,920	136,958	143,705	151,430	17.46%	5.38%
Wyoming	11,433	11,962	12,644	13,446	17.60%	6.35%
<i>New England</i>	<i>408,231</i>	<i>435,944</i>	<i>462,006</i>	<i>500,971</i>	<i>22.72%</i>	<i>8.43%</i>
<i>Mideast</i>	<i>1,315,810</i>	<i>1,390,386</i>	<i>1,461,756</i>	<i>1,554,825</i>	<i>18.16%</i>	<i>6.37%</i>
<i>Great Lakes</i>	<i>1,138,557</i>	<i>1,205,157</i>	<i>1,259,872</i>	<i>1,333,031</i>	<i>17.08%</i>	<i>5.81%</i>
<i>Plains</i>	<i>462,173</i>	<i>491,183</i>	<i>513,694</i>	<i>547,899</i>	<i>18.55%</i>	<i>6.66%</i>
<i>Southeast</i>	<i>1,532,165</i>	<i>1,633,252</i>	<i>1,715,080</i>	<i>1,830,576</i>	<i>19.48%</i>	<i>6.73%</i>
<i>Southwest</i>	<i>677,462</i>	<i>731,930</i>	<i>773,228</i>	<i>833,934</i>	<i>23.10%</i>	<i>7.85%</i>
<i>Rocky Mountain</i>	<i>206,847</i>	<i>223,006</i>	<i>238,166</i>	<i>260,207</i>	<i>25.80%</i>	<i>9.25%</i>
<i>Far West</i>	<i>1,187,299</i>	<i>1,272,618</i>	<i>1,360,334</i>	<i>1,490,069</i>	<i>25.50%</i>	<i>9.54%</i>
United States	\$6,928,545	\$7,383,476	\$7,784,137	\$8,351,512	20.54%	7.29%

Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis; April 2001.

Table 2

Federal Medical Assistance Percentages, 1999-2003

(federal fiscal years; federal share as percent)

State	1999	2000	2001	2002	Projected 2003	Percentage Point Change				Addendum: 2003 FMAP Without Floor
						1999-00	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03	
Alabama	69.27	69.57	69.99	70.45	70.72	0.29	0.42	0.46	0.27	70.72
Alaska*	59.80	59.80	60.13	57.38	55.74	0.00	0.33	-2.75	-1.64	55.74
Arizona	65.50	65.92	65.77	64.98	66.71	0.42	-0.15	-0.79	1.73	66.71
Arkansas	72.96	72.85	73.02	72.64	74.06	-0.11	0.17	-0.38	1.42	74.06
California	51.55	51.67	51.25	51.40	50.00	0.12	-0.42	0.15	-1.40	48.83
Colorado	50.59	50.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	-0.59	0.00	0.00	0.00	46.38
Connecticut	50.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.50
Delaware	50.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	49.15
District of Columbia*	70.00	70.00	70.00	70.00	70.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	27.95
Florida	55.82	56.52	56.62	56.43	58.93	0.71	0.09	-0.19	2.50	58.93
Georgia	60.47	59.88	59.67	59.00	59.73	-0.59	-0.21	-0.67	0.73	59.73
Hawaii	50.00	51.01	53.85	56.34	58.26	1.01	2.84	2.49	1.92	58.26
Idaho	69.85	70.15	70.76	71.02	70.53	0.30	0.60	0.27	-0.49	70.53
Illinois	50.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	46.06
Indiana	61.01	61.74	62.04	62.04	62.00	0.73	0.30	0.00	-0.04	62.00
Iowa	63.32	63.06	62.67	62.86	63.06	-0.26	-0.39	0.19	0.19	63.06
Kansas	60.05	60.03	59.85	60.20	59.69	-0.02	-0.18	0.36	-0.52	59.69
Kentucky	70.53	70.55	70.39	69.94	69.60	0.02	-0.16	-0.45	-0.34	69.60
Louisiana	70.37	70.32	70.53	70.30	70.99	-0.06	0.21	-0.23	0.70	70.99
Maine	66.40	66.22	66.12	66.58	66.11	-0.18	-0.10	0.46	-0.47	66.11
Maryland	50.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	41.34
Massachusetts	50.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	29.64
Michigan	52.72	55.11	56.18	56.36	54.91	2.39	1.07	0.18	-1.45	54.91
Minnesota	51.50	51.48	51.11	50.00	50.00	-0.02	-0.37	-1.11	0.00	47.38
Mississippi	76.78	76.80	76.82	76.09	76.56	0.02	0.02	-0.74	0.47	76.56
Missouri	60.24	60.51	61.03	61.06	61.05	0.26	0.52	0.03	-0.01	61.05
Montana	71.73	72.30	73.04	72.83	73.13	0.58	0.73	-0.20	0.30	73.13
Nebraska	61.46	60.88	60.38	59.55	59.92	-0.58	-0.50	-0.83	0.37	59.92
Nevada	50.00	50.00	50.36	50.00	51.54	0.00	0.36	-0.36	1.54	51.54
New Hampshire	50.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	44.88
New Jersey	50.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	30.28
New Mexico	72.98	73.32	73.80	73.04	74.45	0.34	0.48	-0.76	1.41	74.45
New York	50.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	38.96
North Carolina	63.07	62.49	62.47	61.46	62.31	-0.58	-0.02	-1.01	0.85	62.31
North Dakota	69.94	70.42	69.99	69.87	68.75	0.47	-0.42	-0.12	-1.13	68.75
Ohio	58.26	58.67	59.03	58.78	57.99	0.41	0.36	-0.24	-0.79	57.99
Oklahoma	70.84	71.09	71.24	70.43	70.94	0.25	0.15	-0.81	0.51	70.94
Oregon	60.55	59.96	60.00	59.20	59.26	-0.59	0.04	-0.80	0.06	59.26
Pennsylvania	53.77	53.82	53.62	54.65	55.04	0.04	-0.20	1.03	0.39	55.04
Rhode Island	54.05	53.77	53.79	52.45	55.20	-0.27	0.02	-1.34	2.74	55.20
South Carolina	69.85	69.95	70.44	69.34	69.43	0.10	0.49	-1.10	0.10	69.43
South Dakota	68.16	68.72	68.31	65.93	65.57	0.56	-0.42	-2.37	-0.37	65.57
Tennessee	63.09	63.10	63.79	63.64	64.36	0.01	0.69	-0.15	0.72	64.36
Texas	62.45	61.36	60.57	60.17	60.24	-1.09	-0.79	-0.40	0.07	60.24
Utah	71.78	71.55	71.44	70.00	70.76	-0.23	-0.11	-1.44	0.76	70.76
Vermont	61.97	62.24	62.40	63.06	62.84	0.27	0.15	0.67	-0.22	62.84
Virginia	51.60	51.67	51.85	51.45	50.62	0.07	0.17	-0.39	-0.83	50.62
Washington	52.50	51.83	50.70	50.37	50.00	-0.67	-1.13	-0.33	-0.37	48.96
West Virginia	74.47	74.78	75.34	75.27	74.89	0.31	0.56	-0.07	-0.38	74.89
Wisconsin	58.85	58.78	59.29	58.57	58.44	-0.07	0.51	-0.72	-0.13	58.44
Wyoming	64.08	64.04	64.60	61.97	62.40	-0.04	0.57	-2.64	0.43	62.40

* The FMAPs for the District of Columbia and Alaska were statutorily set in the BBA of 1997 and Alaska's was reset for FY 2001-2005 by the omnibus budget bill of 2000.

Source: FFIS analysis of Commerce Bureau and Bureau of Economic Analysis data, U.S. Department of Commerce.

Table 3

Enhanced FMAPs, 1998-2003

(federal fiscal years; federal share as percent)

State	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	Percentage Point Change		
						projected	1998-2002	2001-02	2002-03
Alabama	78.52	78.49	78.70	78.99	79.31	79.50	0.79	0.32	0.19
Alaska	71.86	71.86	71.86	72.09	70.17	69.02	-1.69	-1.92	-1.15
Arizona	75.73	75.85	76.14	76.04	75.49	76.70	-0.24	-0.55	1.21
Arkansas	80.99	81.07	80.99	81.11	80.85	81.84	-0.14	-0.26	0.99
California	65.86	66.09	66.17	65.88	65.98	65.00	0.12	0.10	-0.98
Colorado	66.38	65.42	65.00	65.00	65.00	65.00	-1.38	0.00	0.00
Connecticut	65.00	65.00	65.00	65.00	65.00	65.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Delaware	65.00	65.00	65.00	65.00	65.00	65.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
District of Colt	79.00	79.00	79.00	79.00	79.00	79.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Florida	68.96	69.07	69.57	69.63	69.50	71.25	0.54	-0.13	1.75
Georgia	72.59	72.33	71.91	71.77	71.30	71.81	-1.29	-0.47	0.51
Hawaii	65.00	65.00	65.71	67.70	69.44	70.78	4.44	1.74	1.34
Idaho	78.71	78.89	79.11	79.53	79.72	79.37	1.01	0.19	-0.35
Illinois	65.00	65.00	65.00	65.00	65.00	65.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Indiana	72.99	72.71	73.22	73.43	73.43	73.40	0.44	0.00	-0.03
Iowa	74.63	74.32	74.14	73.87	74.00	74.14	-0.63	0.13	0.14
Kansas	71.80	72.03	72.02	71.90	72.14	71.78	0.34	0.24	-0.36
Kentucky	79.26	79.37	79.38	79.27	78.96	78.72	-0.30	-0.31	-0.24
Louisiana	79.02	79.26	79.22	79.37	79.21	79.70	0.19	-0.16	0.49
Maine	76.23	76.48	76.36	76.28	76.61	76.28	0.38	0.33	-0.33
Maryland	65.00	65.00	65.00	65.00	65.00	65.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Massachusetts	65.00	65.00	65.00	65.00	65.00	65.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Michigan	67.51	66.91	68.58	69.33	69.45	68.44	1.94	0.12	-1.01
Minnesota	66.50	66.05	66.04	65.78	65.00	65.00	-1.50	-0.78	0.00
Mississippi	83.96	83.75	83.76	83.77	83.26	83.59	-0.70	-0.51	0.33
Missouri	72.48	72.17	72.36	72.72	72.74	72.73	0.26	0.02	-0.01
Montana	79.39	80.21	80.61	81.13	80.98	81.19	1.59	-0.15	0.21
Nebraska	72.82	73.02	72.62	72.27	71.68	71.94	-1.14	-0.59	0.26
Nevada	65.00	65.00	65.00	65.25	65.00	66.08	0.00	-0.25	1.08
New Hampshir	65.00	65.00	65.00	65.00	65.00	65.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
New Jersey	65.00	65.00	65.00	65.00	65.00	65.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
New Mexico	80.83	81.09	81.32	81.66	81.13	82.12	0.30	-0.53	0.99
New York	65.00	65.00	65.00	65.00	65.00	65.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
North Carolina	74.16	74.15	73.74	73.73	73.02	73.62	-1.14	-0.71	0.60
North Dakota	79.30	78.96	79.29	78.99	78.91	78.12	-0.39	-0.08	-0.79
Ohio	70.70	70.78	71.07	71.32	71.15	70.59	0.45	-0.17	-0.56
Oklahoma	79.36	79.59	79.76	79.87	79.30	79.66	-0.06	-0.57	0.36
Oregon	73.02	72.38	71.97	72.00	71.44	71.48	-1.58	-0.56	0.04
Pennsylvania	67.37	67.64	67.67	67.53	68.25	68.53	0.88	0.72	0.28
Rhode Island	67.22	67.83	67.64	67.65	66.72	68.64	-0.50	-0.93	1.92
South Carolina	79.16	78.89	78.96	79.31	78.54	78.60	-0.62	-0.77	0.06
South Dakota	77.43	77.71	78.11	77.82	76.15	75.90	-1.28	-1.67	-0.25
Tennessee	74.35	74.16	74.17	74.65	74.55	75.05	0.20	-0.10	0.50
Texas	73.60	73.72	72.95	72.40	72.12	72.17	-1.48	-0.28	0.05
Utah	80.81	80.25	80.08	80.01	79.00	79.53	-1.81	-1.01	0.53
Vermont	73.53	73.38	73.57	73.68	74.14	73.99	0.61	0.46	-0.15
Virginia	66.04	66.12	66.17	66.30	66.02	65.43	-0.02	-0.28	-0.59
Washington	66.51	66.75	66.28	65.49	65.26	65.00	-1.25	-0.23	-0.26
West Virginia	81.57	82.13	82.35	82.74	82.69	82.42	1.12	-0.05	-0.27
Wisconsin	71.19	71.20	71.15	71.50	71.00	70.91	-0.19	-0.50	-0.09
Wyoming	74.11	74.86	74.83	75.22	73.38	73.68	-0.73	-1.84	0.30

Source: FFIS analysis of Bureau of Economic Analysis data, U.S. Department of Commerce.

Table 4**Potential Impact of Projected 2003 FMAP Shift**

(federal fiscal years; dollars in thousands; federal share as percent)

State	2002 Grants for Vendor Payments	Projected FMAP Change	Illustrative 2003 Impact
Alabama	\$2,005,228	0.27	\$7,686
Alaska	383,622	-1.64	-10,960
Arizona	2,161,517	1.73	57,650
Arkansas	1,358,081	1.42	26,556
California	13,290,301	-1.40	-361,993
Colorado	1,128,992	0.00	0
Connecticut	1,724,367	0.00	0
Delaware	298,311	0.00	0
District of Columbia	698,262	0.00	0
Florida	5,225,255	2.50	231,200
Georgia	2,812,971	0.73	35,013
Hawaii	405,231	1.92	13,807
Idaho	600,202	-0.49	-4,111
Illinois	4,082,028	0.00	0
Indiana	2,742,838	-0.04	-1,901
Iowa	1,204,182	0.20	3,752
Kansas	1,028,685	-0.51	-8,734
Kentucky	2,354,081	-0.34	-11,346
Louisiana	2,890,147	0.69	28,509
Maine	988,857	-0.47	-6,948
Maryland	1,736,986	0.00	0
Massachusetts	3,439,910	0.00	0
Michigan	4,019,180	-1.45	-103,389
Minnesota	2,158,213	0.00	0
Mississippi	1,739,782	0.47	10,742
Missouri	3,278,514	-0.01	-616
Montana	490,538	0.30	2,053
Nebraska	768,406	0.37	4,763
Nevada	382,441	1.54	11,790
New Hampshire	469,276	0.00	0
New Jersey	3,743,631	0.00	0
New Mexico	1,240,036	1.41	23,951
New York	18,668,076	0.00	0
North Carolina	4,000,668	0.85	55,430
North Dakota	310,057	-1.12	-4,988
Ohio	5,356,436	-0.79	-71,937
Oklahoma	1,563,013	0.51	11,419
Oregon	1,462,082	0.06	1,556
Pennsylvania	6,749,721	0.39	47,978
Rhode Island	686,088	2.75	35,911
South Carolina	2,255,842	0.09	3,060
South Dakota	340,945	-0.36	-1,874
Tennessee	3,581,310	0.72	40,510
Texas	7,458,958	0.07	8,198
Utah	638,354	0.76	6,920
Vermont	406,277	-0.22	-1,394
Virginia	1,641,572	-0.83	-26,515
Washington	2,291,805	-0.37	-16,835
West Virginia	1,218,765	-0.38	-6,178
Wisconsin	2,134,127	-0.13	-4,681
Wyoming	152,914	0.43	1,053
Total	\$131,767,081	---	\$25,102

Source: HCFA administrative data.